

OFF-SEASON FOOTBALL LEAGUE NEWSLETTER

Vol. XXVII• No. 4 May 10, 2008

OFL CALENDAR OF EVENTS • ACTUAL EMAIL DUE DATES

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| MON-MAY 5 th | Week 5 game files & player movements due by 7 PM PDT |
| MON-MAY 12 th | Week 6 game files & player movements due by 7 PM PDT |
| MON-MAY 19 th | Week 7 game files & player movements due by 7 PM PDT |
| MON-JUNE 2 nd | In-Season Trading Deadline closes at 7 PM PDT |
| | Week 8 game files & player movements due by 7 PM PDT |
| SAT-JUNE 7 th | Newsletter No. 5 shall be mailed |
| MON-JUNE 9 th | Week 9 game files & player movements due by 7 PM PDT |

2008 OFL Standings Thru Week 5

Continental Conference

| | W | L | T | Pct | Pts | Avg | Opp | Avg | Home | Division | Conf |
|------------|---|---|---|------|-----|------|-----|------|-------|----------|-------|
| Nitschke | 4 | 1 | 0 | .800 | 147 | 29.4 | 47 | 9.4 | 3 0 0 | 2 0 0 | 4 0 0 |
| Denver | 4 | 1 | 0 | .800 | 144 | 28.8 | 90 | 18.0 | 2 1 0 | 2 0 0 | 4 0 0 |
| Kutztown | 2 | 3 | 0 | .400 | 92 | 18.4 | 130 | 26.0 | 2 1 0 | 2 1 0 | 2 2 0 |
| New York | 2 | 3 | 0 | .400 | 64 | 12.8 | 108 | 21.6 | 1 1 0 | 0 2 0 | 2 2 0 |
| Florida | 1 | 4 | 0 | .200 | 68 | 13.6 | 160 | 32.0 | 1 2 0 | 0 3 0 | 0 4 0 |
| Ringgold | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Berry | 4 | 1 | 0 | .800 | 167 | 33.4 | 102 | 20.4 | 3 0 0 | 2 0 0 | 3 1 0 |
| Baltimore | 3 | 2 | 0 | .600 | 151 | 30.2 | 109 | 21.8 | 0 1 0 | 2 0 0 | 2 2 0 |
| Las Vegas | 2 | 3 | 0 | .400 | 108 | 21.6 | 107 | 21.4 | 1 2 0 | 2 1 0 | 2 2 0 |
| Pittsburgh | 1 | 4 | 0 | .200 | 97 | 19.4 | 144 | 28.8 | 0 2 0 | 0 2 0 | 1 3 0 |
| Antelope | 0 | 5 | 0 | .000 | 58 | 11.6 | 174 | 34.8 | 0 3 0 | 0 3 0 | 0 4 0 |
| New Jersey | | | | | | | | | | | |

International Conference

| | W | L | T | Pct | Pts | Avg | Opp | Avg | Home | Division | Conf |
|---------------|---|---|---|------|-----|------|-----|------|-------|----------|-------|
| Payton | 4 | 1 | 0 | .800 | 155 | 31.0 | 69 | 13.8 | 3 0 0 | 2 0 0 | 3 1 0 |
| Fresno | 3 | 2 | 0 | .600 | 80 | 16.0 | 101 | 20.2 | 2 0 0 | 1 2 0 | 2 2 0 |
| Durham | 3 | 2 | 0 | .600 | 135 | 27.0 | 113 | 22.6 | 1 1 0 | 2 0 0 | 2 2 0 |
| Sacramento | 3 | 2 | 0 | .600 | 125 | 25.0 | 139 | 27.8 | 2 1 0 | 1 1 0 | 2 2 0 |
| San Francisco | 0 | 5 | 0 | .000 | 68 | 13.6 | 171 | 34.2 | 2 0 0 | 3 0 0 | 4 0 0 |
| California | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tittle | 4 | 1 | 0 | .800 | 176 | 35.2 | 77 | 15.4 | 2 0 0 | 1 1 0 | 3 1 0 |
| Malvern | 4 | 1 | 0 | .800 | 152 | 30.4 | 92 | 18.4 | 3 0 0 | 1 1 0 | 3 1 0 |
| Winnipeg | 3 | 2 | 0 | .600 | 122 | 24.4 | 111 | 22.2 | 2 0 0 | 2 1 0 | 2 2 0 |
| Prairie | 2 | 3 | 0 | .400 | 107 | 21.4 | 112 | 22.4 | 1 1 0 | 2 1 0 | 2 2 0 |
| Medicine | 1 | 4 | 0 | .200 | 67 | 13.4 | 127 | 25.4 | 0 3 0 | 0 2 0 | 1 3 0 |
| Round Rock | | | | | | | | | | | |

COMMISSIONER'S CORNER..... By Matt Farmer

1st & 10: Building on my note of last month, it remains good to see so many teams in the hunt about a quarter of a way through the season. It seems really more than ever with this version that "Any Given Sunday" rings true more than ever. It will be very hard to compete who will come out on top.

2nd Down: If you are in the hunt, keep in mind the option of trading. A few teams have already taken advantage of that while the season is going on. A player or two could make the difference.

3rd Down: I must admit though, with the season in full swing, I personally do not enjoy the game as much. This league is so fantastic with its off season activities and it are those, more than actual game playing, that keeps me around. With that said, I am really not too heart broken that I had sub coaches play my team the last few weeks. Props to Colin and Karl for stepping in for me.

Timeout: Still on game play, it seems this year is more troublesome for connectivity. I am having trouble hosting and I know few other coaches are as well. The computer in "War Games" was probably easier to tap than Internet play for Action. For those of you who have played the latest version, I would be interested to hear if the connectivity issue has improved.

4th Down: Continued luck and success to all teams as we move forward this season. I will in fact be surprised if we see more separation between the teams with another month of play. My money is on it still remaining close amongst all the teams.

COACHES' CORNER

No contact changes to report this month

MONEY MATTERS..... THIS MONTH

Report errors in Money Matters ASAP to Mike Jaime
Thru Trade #52

| OFL FRANCHISE | 1 st Art | 2 nd Art | 3 rd Art/ Photo | FA Claims | Cut Costs | Traded Money | Total XXVII - 4 |
|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Antelope | | | | | | | |
| Baltimore | | | FEB | | | | |
| California | MAR | MAR | MAR | | | - 500 | - 500 |
| Denver | | | | | | | |
| Durham | | | | | | | |
| Florida | DEC | JAN | DEC | | | | |
| Fresno | JAN | FEB | JAN | | | + 500 | + 500 |
| Kutztown | FEB | | | | | | |
| Las Vegas | JAN | + 1000 | FEB | - 50 | | | + 950 |
| Malvern | FEB | | MAR | | | - 350 | - 350 |
| Medicine | | | | | | | |
| New Jersey | | | | - 200 | - 100 | | - 300 |
| New York | | | | | | | |
| Pittsburgh | FEB | | | | | | |
| Prairie | | | FEB | | | | |
| Ringgold | | | | - 50 | - 150 | + 350 | + 150 |
| Round Rock | | | | - 50 | | | - 50 |
| Sacramento | | FEB | FEB | | | | |
| San Francisco | | | | | | | |
| Winnipeg | JAN | JAN | | | | | |

NOTES: All Teams enjoy the next 8 months to submit their 2008 articles/photos to earn \$1 million each, for up to maximum of \$3 million total for the franchise.

Weekly Player Moves
Prepared By - Jason Findlay

Effective Beginning Week 2

New Jersey
Claims: DT James Reed @ 50K
Cuts: DL Kenard Lang @ 0K

Ringgold
Claims: DT Grady Jackson @ 50K
Cuts: WR Troy Brown @ 0K

Effective Beginning Week 3

New Jersey

Claims: FB Brad Hoover @ 50K

Cuts: G Brandon Moore @ 0K

Effective Beginning Week 4

Round Rock

Claims: QB Bruce Gradkowski @ 50K

Cuts: LB Abdul Hodge @ 0K

Effective Beginning Week 5

No player movements reported this week

Effective Beginning Week 6

Las Vegas

Claims: RET Brandon Williams @ 50K

New Jersey

Claims: T Wayne Gandy @ 50K, LB Patrick Chukwaruh @ 50K

Cuts: FB Brad Hoover @ 0K, LB Junior Seau @ 100K

Ringgold

Cuts: T Damion McIntosh @ 150K

\$TEAL\$ & DEAL\$.....

In-Season Trading Period shall close Monday, June 2nd 7 PM PDT

53. LAS VEGAS trades OL Chris Spencer to CALIFORNIA for DT Cornelius Griffin.

54. MALVERN trades WR Troy Brown and \$350K to RINGGOLD for DE Bert Berry.

55. CALIFORNIA trades LB Adalius Thomas, DE James Hall DE and \$500K to FRESNO for their 4th round pick, DL Marques Douglas & DE Alex Brown.

56. RINGGOLD trades DT Casey Hampton to LAS VEGAS for their 8th round draft pick, T-David Stewart & DE Matt Roth.

**2009 OFL DRAFT BOARD – Thru Trade #56
Teams Listed Alphabetically**

| OFL FRANCHISE | # 1 | # 2 | # 3 | # 4 | # 5 | # 6 | # 7 | # 8 |
|------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Antelope Mud Fish | | | MLV | LV | | | | |
| Baltimore Slaughter | | | | | | | | |
| California Quakes | | | | | | | | |
| Denver Destroyers | | | | | PIT | | | |
| Durham Thunder Lizards | | | | | | | | |
| Florida Dragons | | | | | | | | |
| Fresno Fuel | FLA | NJ | PIT | CAL | SF | | | |
| Kutztown Belchers | WPG | WPG | WPG | WPG | WPG | WPG | WPG | |
| Las Vegas RatPack | | | | | | | | RR |
| Malvern Monarchs | | | RC | | | | | |
| Medicine Mad Hatters | | | | | | | | |
| New Jersey Patriots | | | | NY | | | | |
| New York Cobras | | | | | LV | | | |
| Pittsburgh Assassins | | | | | | | | |
| Prairie Wildfire | NJ | PIT | NJ | NJ | NJ | PIT | | |
| Ringgold Renegades | | | | | | | | |
| Round Rock Crusaders | | | | | | MLV | | |
| Sacramento Scorpions | | | NJ | | | | NJ | BLT |
| San Fran Buccaneers | | | MLV | | | | | |
| Winnipeg Vigilantes | NY | NY | | SF | NY | | | |

**Food for like-minded Rats to gnaw on.....
By- Mike Jaime**

I've been weighing three modest suggestions for a long time, and likely will formalize them over the Winter for a vote. For now, just brushing broad strokes & invite your constructive comments.

Put your best team on the field EVERY week...

Restrict the ability to de-activate players until you've either clinched your Division or Week 14, which ever occurs first. Rather than focus on the exact detail, I'm more interested in reading constructive comments on the general intent.

We did away with Taxi Squads to simplify the weekly process of determining which players will be available to play, expanding the available roster to a full 53 players. Along the way we created the unrealistic "incentive" to pre-determine weeks (and months!!!) in advance when & where our less durable players of value will sit. This has undermined the injury factor of the game. Contenders review their schedule, and literally plan which key players vulnerable to injury will sit out against weak opponents.

If we genuinely seek to encourage every team to field their most competitive team each week, let's remove the ability for us to decide in March that certain players will not play the "phone-in" games weeks & months ahead of time.

Calvin is right.....

The OFL should explore ideas to permit New Coaches to void or re-structure some existing player contracts to expedite their rebuilding process. To paraphrase Warren Buffet, if there's anything we can learn from history it's that people don't seem to learn from history!

We're barely 1/4th into the regular season and while I harbor optimistic hope for the turnaround of a few franchises my instincts from more than two decades of doing this are "here we go again".

Most coaches who join the OFL underestimate the effect contracts have on their short & long-term team building. (A few grasp it right off, but they are rare.) The results are painful for everyone. They are also predictable.

The parameters of such contract restructuring (or voiding all together) require some thought and discussion. And I now embrace that Superstars should be included in that conversation.

Maybe it's a fixed amount, in terms of players or dollar value. Maybe it's a percentage amount, permitting adjustment of 25% (as an example) of current salary obligations.

NFL teams re-structure contracts all the time for the same intended purpose- to help them field a more competitive team. The time has arrived to explore the OFL following suit, for newer coaches at the very least.

Too Busy all the time or just plain old Apathy.....?

We're here for fun. Be it trading, drafting, bidding, working the waiver wire, managing our money, game planning, trash talking our divisional nemesis, executing that beautiful 10 play 80 yard TD drive, or sniffing out that play action fake and astutely doubling that seldom used TE on a deep out route.

It's all supposed to be fun. Life is fun.... much of the time. Unfortunately real life often derails our well intended plans. We all occasionally need someone to step in and coach our team, or work off our draft list due to an emergency.

As I was posting up the Standings this issue I see an alarming number of games NOT played FTF by the same few franchises. What's up with this? A game consumes about 90 minutes, and we enjoy about a 6-day window to get it done. I myself am usually unable to play a couple games each year, but week after week of no-show is a Red Flag a franchise may be suffering from something more than just "busy at work".

Coaches that rarely respond to emails, continuously require an interim on gameday and routinely are late to the party with deadlines need to be addressed sooner rather than later to determine if their sincere interest is to remain with us.

No one benefits from an absentee Owner, and we should examine incentives to encourage greater H2H play, and maybe penalize franchises that seem perpetually "too busy" to actively participate.

On a similar note, we need to pay closer attention to the obvious off-season signals that a Coach will not be returning for the following year. The Texas debacle this past winter is a clear case in point. The entire off-season was wasted and the franchise denied the opportunity to have an actively participating Owner. A few weeks grace for a veteran Coach enduring stress or strain in their real life is both courteous & reasonable. But when the weeks stretch into months, the months into an entire off-season, the decision to go forward needs to be addressed much sooner.

OFL RUSHING LEADERS THRU WEEK 5

| | | Att | Yds | Ave | Lg | TD | | | Att | Yds | Ave | Lg | TD | | |
|---|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|---|----|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|---|
| 1 | Barber, T | FF | 121 | 635 | 5.2 | 39 | 2 | 9 | Johnson, R | NYC | 92 | 353 | 3.8 | 10 | 3 |
| 2 | Gore | LVR | 108 | 606 | 5.6 | 55 | 2 | 10 | Bell, T | BLT | 68 | 352 | 5.2 | 21 | 1 |
| 3 | Tomlinson | SAC | 108 | 556 | 5.1 | 52 | 5 | 11 | Henry, T | DTL | 68 | 336 | 4.9 | 33 | 2 |
| 4 | Jones, T | NJP | 128 | 551 | 4.3 | 21 | 1 | 12 | Dillon | MLV | 72 | 304 | 4.2 | 13 | 3 |
| 5 | Johnson, L | KTZ | 128 | 477 | 3.7 | 19 | 6 | 13 | Taylor, F | WIN | 59 | 297 | 5.0 | 15 | 1 |
| 6 | James, E | FD | 102 | 453 | 4.4 | 18 | 0 | 14 | Jones-Drew | MLV | 58 | 294 | 5.1 | 24 | 3 |
| 7 | Jackson, S | Den | 95 | 423 | 4.5 | 52 | 4 | 15 | Addai | ANT | 58 | 280 | 4.8 | 56 | 2 |
| 8 | Betts | PIT | 80 | 378 | 4.7 | 15 | 2 | 16 | McAllister | PWF | 67 | 275 | 4.1 | 33 | 2 |

OFL RECEIVING LEADERS THRU WEEK 5

| | | No | Yds | Ave | Lg | TD | | | No | Yds | Ave | Lg | TD | | |
|---|-----------|-----|-----|-----|------|----|---|----|------------|-----|-----|-----|------|----|---|
| 1 | Boldin | KTZ | 29 | 453 | 15.6 | 39 | 1 | 9 | Stallworth | CAL | 24 | 308 | 12.8 | 31 | 2 |
| 2 | Glenn, T | SAC | 27 | 323 | 12.0 | 33 | 4 | 10 | Gonzalez | FF | 24 | 262 | 10.9 | 39 | 5 |
| 3 | Holt, T | SF | 26 | 355 | 13.7 | 35 | 5 | 11 | Johnson, A | WIN | 24 | 369 | 15.4 | 46 | 6 |
| 4 | Bush, R | RR | 25 | 207 | 8.3 | 20 | 1 | 12 | Owens, T | Den | 23 | 417 | 18.1 | 45 | 4 |
| 5 | Walker, J | SF | 25 | 358 | 14.3 | 49 | 4 | 13 | Johnson, C | MLV | 23 | 380 | 16.5 | 61 | 5 |
| 6 | Moulds | CAL | 25 | 244 | 9.8 | 22 | 2 | 14 | Smith, S | FD | 21 | 242 | 11.5 | 22 | 2 |
| 7 | Wayne, R | PIT | 25 | 338 | 13.5 | 42 | 0 | 15 | Welker | SAC | 21 | 211 | 10.0 | 17 | 0 |
| 8 | Winslow | WIN | 25 | 274 | 11.0 | 28 | 2 | 16 | Tomlinson | SAC | 20 | 184 | 9.2 | 23 | 1 |

OFL PASSING LEADERS THRU WEEK 5

| | | Att | Cmp | C% | Yards | In | I% | Lg | TD | TD% | Rate | |
|----|------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|------|----|-----|----|-----|------|-------|
| 1 | Huard | PIT | 54 | 38 | 70.4 | 482 | 1 | 1.9 | 40 | 7 | 13.0 | 129.8 |
| 2 | Kitna | KTZ | 115 | 84 | 73.0 | 1088 | 5 | 4.3 | 39 | 11 | 9.6 | 116.1 |
| 3 | Warner | MLV | 98 | 64 | 65.3 | 878 | 2 | 2.0 | 61 | 9 | 9.2 | 115.9 |
| 4 | McNabb | BLT | 84 | 49 | 58.3 | 793 | 2 | 2.4 | 51 | 9 | 10.7 | 115.8 |
| 5 | McNair | LVR | 106 | 69 | 65.1 | 915 | 3 | 2.8 | 38 | 11 | 10.4 | 115.1 |
| 6 | Delhomme | Den | 89 | 60 | 67.4 | 734 | 1 | 1.1 | 45 | 6 | 6.7 | 110.4 |
| 7 | Palmer, C | PWF | 109 | 68 | 62.4 | 930 | 3 | 2.8 | 67 | 10 | 9.2 | 108.7 |
| 8 | Bulger | FF | 126 | 78 | 61.9 | 930 | 3 | 2.4 | 42 | 11 | 8.7 | 103.6 |
| 9 | Romo | ANT | 98 | 63 | 64.3 | 786 | 3 | 3.1 | 38 | 5 | 5.1 | 93.3 |
| 10 | Brees | MMH | 163 | 97 | 59.5 | 1227 | 2 | 1.2 | 40 | 7 | 4.3 | 92.2 |
| 11 | Brady, T | SF | 163 | 97 | 59.5 | 1181 | 5 | 3.1 | 49 | 11 | 6.7 | 91.6 |
| 12 | Manning, E | WIN | 188 | 105 | 55.9 | 1239 | 6 | 3.2 | 46 | 13 | 6.9 | 85.8 |
| 13 | Johnson, B | SAC | 123 | 69 | 56.1 | 706 | 2 | 1.6 | 28 | 5 | 4.1 | 79.5 |
| 14 | Plummer, J | PIT | 68 | 33 | 48.5 | 475 | 2 | 2.9 | 47 | 3 | 4.4 | 74.1 |
| 15 | Leinart | NYC | 154 | 81 | 52.6 | 966 | 6 | 3.9 | 74 | 7 | 4.5 | 71.0 |
| 16 | Pennington | CAL | 148 | 94 | 63.5 | 889 | 8 | 5.4 | 33 | 6 | 4.1 | 71.0 |

OFL TEAM OFFENSE THRU WEEK 5

| Offense | Run | Yards | Ave | TD | Pass | Comp | Comp% | Yards | Ave | Int | Int% | TD | TD% | Rate | Run/G | Pass/G | Tot/G | Play |
|---------------|-----|-------|-----|----|------|------|-------|-------|-----|-----|------|----|------|-------|-------|--------|-------|------|
| Denver | 214 | 1029 | 4.8 | 8 | 126 | 85 | 67.5 | 1059 | 8.4 | 3 | 2.4 | 10 | 7.9 | 111.3 | 205.8 | 211.8 | 417.6 | 6.0 |
| Sacramento | 145 | 695 | 4.8 | 6 | 173 | 102 | 59.0 | 1154 | 6.7 | 2 | 1.2 | 8 | 4.6 | 90.9 | 139.0 | 230.8 | 369.8 | 5.7 |
| Baltimore | 178 | 715 | 4.0 | 7 | 130 | 75 | 57.7 | 1132 | 8.7 | 3 | 2.3 | 12 | 9.2 | 109.0 | 143.0 | 226.4 | 369.4 | 5.9 |
| Malvern | 163 | 711 | 4.4 | 6 | 128 | 82 | 64.1 | 1129 | 8.8 | 3 | 2.3 | 14 | 10.9 | 120.5 | 142.2 | 225.8 | 368.0 | 6.2 |
| Winnipeg | 128 | 647 | 5.1 | 2 | 188 | 105 | 55.9 | 1184 | 6.3 | 6 | 3.2 | 8 | 4.3 | 77.0 | 129.4 | 236.8 | 366.2 | 5.6 |
| Fresno | 195 | 846 | 4.3 | 5 | 126 | 78 | 61.9 | 863 | 6.8 | 3 | 2.4 | 11 | 8.7 | 103.6 | 169.2 | 172.6 | 341.8 | 5.2 |
| Medicine | 136 | 508 | 3.7 | 3 | 163 | 97 | 59.5 | 1186 | 7.3 | 2 | 1.2 | 7 | 4.3 | 92.2 | 101.6 | 237.2 | 338.8 | 5.6 |
| Las Vegas | 169 | 802 | 4.7 | 3 | 115 | 72 | 62.6 | 886 | 7.7 | 4 | 3.5 | 7 | 6.1 | 94.2 | 160.4 | 177.2 | 337.6 | 5.8 |
| Antelope | 148 | 596 | 4.0 | 4 | 155 | 98 | 63.2 | 1053 | 6.8 | 5 | 3.2 | 6 | 3.9 | 84.3 | 119.2 | 210.6 | 329.8 | 5.2 |
| Kutztown | 171 | 582 | 3.4 | 6 | 122 | 88 | 72.1 | 1055 | 8.6 | 5 | 4.1 | 11 | 9.0 | 113.8 | 116.4 | 211.0 | 327.4 | 5.4 |
| San Francisco | 133 | 495 | 3.7 | 3 | 163 | 97 | 59.5 | 1111 | 6.8 | 5 | 3.1 | 11 | 6.7 | 91.6 | 99.0 | 222.2 | 321.2 | 5.2 |
| Pittsburgh | 135 | 540 | 4.0 | 2 | 134 | 79 | 59.0 | 998 | 7.4 | 4 | 3.0 | 8 | 6.0 | 91.3 | 108.0 | 199.6 | 307.6 | 5.6 |
| New York | 147 | 573 | 3.9 | 4 | 154 | 81 | 52.6 | 928 | 6.0 | 6 | 3.9 | 7 | 4.5 | 71.0 | 114.6 | 185.6 | 300.2 | 4.9 |
| Prairie | 120 | 470 | 3.9 | 2 | 132 | 82 | 62.1 | 998 | 7.6 | 5 | 3.8 | 11 | 8.3 | 99.4 | 94.0 | 199.6 | 293.6 | 5.6 |
| Durham | 173 | 653 | 3.8 | 4 | 105 | 65 | 61.9 | 726 | 6.9 | 6 | 5.7 | 1 | 1.0 | 63.7 | 130.6 | 145.2 | 275.8 | 4.8 |
| Florida | 144 | 579 | 4.0 | 0 | 175 | 87 | 49.7 | 759 | 4.3 | 6 | 3.4 | 4 | 2.3 | 57.4 | 115.8 | 151.8 | 267.6 | 4.0 |
| Ringgold | 143 | 482 | 3.4 | 1 | 136 | 75 | 55.1 | 809 | 5.9 | 7 | 5.1 | 4 | 2.9 | 62.5 | 96.4 | 161.8 | 258.2 | 4.5 |
| Round Rock | 125 | 433 | 3.5 | 2 | 162 | 89 | 54.9 | 854 | 5.3 | 4 | 2.5 | 5 | 3.1 | 71.6 | 86.6 | 170.8 | 257.4 | 4.3 |
| California | 111 | 353 | 3.2 | 1 | 164 | 105 | 64.0 | 869 | 5.3 | 9 | 5.5 | 7 | 4.3 | 71.8 | 70.6 | 173.8 | 244.4 | 4.2 |
| New Jersey | 166 | 651 | 3.9 | 2 | 145 | 68 | 46.9 | 534 | 3.7 | 5 | 3.4 | 2 | 1.4 | 49.0 | 130.2 | 106.8 | 237.0 | 3.7 |

Net Yards

| | |
|--------------|------|
| 1 Denver | 2088 |
| 2 Sacramento | 1849 |
| 3 Baltimore | 1847 |
| 4 Malvern | 1840 |
| 5 Winnipeg | 1831 |

Net Yards/Game

| | |
|--------------|-------|
| 1 Denver | 417.6 |
| 2 Sacramento | 369.8 |
| 3 Baltimore | 369.4 |
| 4 Malvern | 368.0 |
| 5 Winnipeg | 366.2 |

Points

| | |
|-------------|-----|
| 1 Malvern | 176 |
| 2 Baltimore | 167 |
| 3 Fresno | 155 |
| 4 Winnipeg | 152 |
| 5 Las Vegas | 151 |

Points/Game

| | |
|-------------|------|
| 1 Malvern | 35.2 |
| 2 Baltimore | 33.4 |
| 3 Fresno | 31.0 |
| 4 Winnipeg | 30.4 |
| 5 Las Vegas | 30.2 |

Rushing Attempts

| | |
|-------------|-----|
| 1 Denver | 214 |
| 2 Fresno | 195 |
| 3 Baltimore | 178 |
| 4 Durham | 173 |
| 5 Kutztown | 171 |

Rushing Yards

| | |
|-------------|------|
| 1 Denver | 1029 |
| 2 Fresno | 846 |
| 3 Las Vegas | 802 |
| 4 Baltimore | 715 |
| 5 Malvern | 711 |

Rushing Yards/Game

| | |
|-------------|-------|
| 1 Denver | 205.8 |
| 2 Fresno | 169.2 |
| 3 Las Vegas | 160.4 |
| 4 Baltimore | 143.0 |
| 5 Malvern | 142.2 |

Rushing Touchdowns

| | |
|--------------|---|
| 1 Denver | 8 |
| 2 Baltimore | 7 |
| 3 Malvern | 6 |
| 4 Sacramento | 6 |
| 5 Kutztown | 6 |

Rushing Average

| | |
|--------------|-----|
| 1 Winnipeg | 5.1 |
| 2 Denver | 4.8 |
| 3 Sacramento | 4.8 |
| 4 Las Vegas | 4.7 |
| 5 Malvern | 4.4 |

Rushing Touchdown%

| | |
|--------------|-----|
| 1 Sacramento | 4.1 |
| 2 Baltimore | 3.9 |
| 3 Denver | 3.7 |
| 4 Malvern | 3.7 |
| 5 Kutztown | 3.5 |

Pass Attempts

| | |
|-----------------|-----|
| 1 Winnipeg | 188 |
| 2 Florida | 175 |
| 3 Sacramento | 173 |
| 4 California | 164 |
| 5 San Francisco | 163 |

Pass Completions

| | |
|-----------------|-----|
| 1 Winnipeg | 105 |
| 2 California | 105 |
| 3 Sacramento | 102 |
| 4 Antelope | 98 |
| 5 San Francisco | 97 |

Passing Yards

| | |
|--------------|------|
| 1 Medicine | 1186 |
| 2 Winnipeg | 1184 |
| 3 Sacramento | 1154 |
| 4 Baltimore | 1132 |
| 5 Malvern | 1129 |

Pass Yards/Game

| | |
|--------------|-------|
| 1 Medicine | 237.2 |
| 2 Winnipeg | 236.8 |
| 3 Sacramento | 230.8 |
| 4 Baltimore | 226.4 |
| 5 Malvern | 225.8 |

Passing Int's

| | |
|--------------|---|
| 1 Medicine | 2 |
| 2 Sacramento | 2 |
| 3 Baltimore | 3 |
| 4 Malvern | 3 |
| 5 Denver | 3 |

| Passing TD's | | Yards/Pass Attempt | | Yards/Completion | |
|-----------------|----|--------------------|-----|------------------|------|
| 1 Malvern | 14 | 1 Kutztown | 9.3 | 1 Baltimore | 15.7 |
| 2 Baltimore | 12 | 2 Malvern | 9.2 | 2 Malvern | 14.4 |
| 3 Fresno | 11 | 3 Baltimore | 9.1 | 3 Pittsburgh | 13.3 |
| 4 San Francisco | 11 | 4 Denver | 8.8 | 4 Las Vegas | 13.1 |
| 5 Kutztown | 11 | 5 Las Vegas | 8.2 | 5 Denver | 13.0 |

| Passing Comp% | | Passing Int% | | Passing TD% | |
|---------------|------|--------------|-----|-------------|------|
| 1 Kutztown | 72.1 | 1 Sacramento | 1.2 | 1 Malvern | 10.9 |
| 2 Denver | 67.5 | 2 Medicine | 1.2 | 2 Baltimore | 9.2 |
| 3 Malvern | 64.1 | 3 Baltimore | 2.3 | 3 Kutztown | 9.0 |
| 4 California | 64.0 | 4 Malvern | 2.3 | 4 Fresno | 8.7 |
| 5 Antelope | 63.2 | 5 Denver | 2.4 | 5 Prairie | 8.3 |

| Times Sacked | | Sack% | | Passing Rating | |
|--------------|---|--------------|-----|----------------|-------|
| 1 Baltimore | 6 | 1 Medicine | 3.6 | 1 Malvern | 120.5 |
| 2 New York | 6 | 2 New York | 3.8 | 2 Kutztown | 113.8 |
| 3 Medicine | 6 | 3 Ringgold | 4.2 | 3 Denver | 111.3 |
| 4 Ringgold | 6 | 4 Baltimore | 4.4 | 4 Baltimore | 109.0 |
| 5 Denver | 7 | 5 Sacramento | 4.9 | 5 Fresno | 103.6 |

| First Downs | | Fumbles | | Penalties | |
|--------------|-----|-------------|---|--------------|----|
| 1 Denver | 133 | 1 Fresno | 2 | 1 Baltimore | 18 |
| 2 Winnipeg | 120 | 2 Las Vegas | 3 | 2 Round Rock | 21 |
| 3 Malvern | 113 | 3 Antelope | 3 | 3 Malvern | 22 |
| 4 Sacramento | 113 | 4 Malvern | 4 | 4 Sacramento | 22 |
| 5 Fresno | 112 | 5 Baltimore | 4 | 5 Durham | 22 |

OFL TEAM DEFENSE THRU WEEK 5

| Defense | Run | Yards | Ave | TD | Pass | Comp | Comp% | Yards | Ave | Int | Int% | TD | TD% | Rate | Run/G | Pass/G | Tot/G | Play |
|---------------|-----|-------|-----|----|------|------|-------|-------|------|-----|------|----|------|-------|-------|--------|-------|------|
| Denver | 144 | 607 | 4.2 | 3 | 96 | 39 | 40.6 | 373 | 3.9 | 8 | 8.3 | 2 | 2.1 | 26.9 | 121.4 | 74.6 | 196.0 | 4.0 |
| Fresno | 121 | 501 | 4.1 | 1 | 133 | 66 | 49.6 | 560 | 4.2 | 11 | 8.3 | 3 | 2.3 | 36.3 | 100.2 | 112.0 | 212.2 | 4.0 |
| Kutztown | 139 | 515 | 3.7 | 3 | 126 | 64 | 50.8 | 639 | 5.1 | 6 | 4.8 | 4 | 3.2 | 57.6 | 103.0 | 127.8 | 230.8 | 4.3 |
| Winnipeg | 135 | 519 | 3.8 | 2 | 152 | 81 | 53.3 | 760 | 5.0 | 6 | 3.9 | 4 | 2.6 | 62.1 | 103.8 | 152.0 | 255.8 | 4.3 |
| Malvern | 125 | 460 | 3.7 | 2 | 161 | 97 | 60.2 | 899 | 5.6 | 10 | 6.2 | 4 | 2.5 | 60.5 | 92.0 | 179.8 | 271.8 | 4.5 |
| Pittsburgh | 161 | 530 | 3.3 | 3 | 138 | 87 | 63.0 | 963 | 7.0 | 5 | 3.6 | 7 | 5.1 | 87.2 | 106.0 | 192.6 | 298.6 | 4.9 |
| Prairie | 145 | 563 | 3.9 | 2 | 141 | 83 | 58.9 | 964 | 6.8 | 3 | 2.1 | 9 | 6.4 | 94.2 | 112.6 | 192.8 | 305.4 | 5.1 |
| Sacramento | 113 | 341 | 3.0 | 0 | 161 | 106 | 65.8 | 1197 | 7.4 | 6 | 3.7 | 13 | 8.1 | 101.4 | 68.2 | 239.4 | 307.6 | 5.4 |
| Las Vegas | 185 | 850 | 4.6 | 5 | 120 | 75 | 62.5 | 724 | 6.0 | 6 | 5.0 | 8 | 6.7 | 83.1 | 170.0 | 144.8 | 314.8 | 5.0 |
| Durham | 166 | 723 | 4.4 | 4 | 176 | 97 | 55.1 | 881 | 5.0 | 6 | 3.4 | 7 | 4.0 | 69.6 | 144.6 | 176.2 | 320.8 | 4.6 |
| Baltimore | 181 | 807 | 4.5 | 6 | 144 | 78 | 54.2 | 859 | 6.0 | 4 | 2.8 | 6 | 4.2 | 76.0 | 161.4 | 171.8 | 333.2 | 5.0 |
| New York | 167 | 699 | 4.2 | 5 | 144 | 84 | 58.3 | 977 | 6.8 | 3 | 2.1 | 5 | 3.5 | 83.0 | 139.8 | 195.4 | 335.2 | 5.3 |
| Medicine | 130 | 524 | 4.0 | 4 | 177 | 99 | 55.9 | 1161 | 6.6 | 3 | 1.7 | 8 | 4.5 | 86.2 | 104.8 | 232.2 | 337.0 | 5.2 |
| Ringgold | 151 | 561 | 3.7 | 6 | 142 | 90 | 63.4 | 1165 | 8.2 | 1 | 0.7 | 12 | 8.5 | 115.5 | 112.2 | 233.0 | 345.2 | 5.8 |
| Florida | 146 | 616 | 4.2 | 3 | 153 | 99 | 64.7 | 1126 | 7.4 | 4 | 2.6 | 7 | 4.6 | 92.6 | 123.2 | 225.2 | 348.4 | 5.6 |
| New Jersey | 169 | 613 | 3.6 | 2 | 120 | 79 | 65.8 | 1221 | 10.2 | 3 | 2.5 | 8 | 6.7 | 113.4 | 122.6 | 244.2 | 366.8 | 6.1 |
| San Francisco | 148 | 741 | 5.0 | 5 | 184 | 109 | 59.2 | 1141 | 6.2 | 4 | 2.2 | 12 | 6.5 | 92.1 | 148.2 | 228.2 | 376.4 | 5.5 |
| Round Rock | 180 | 822 | 4.6 | 2 | 133 | 88 | 66.2 | 1063 | 8.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 10.5 | 126.6 | 164.4 | 212.6 | 377.0 | 5.9 |
| Antelope | 148 | 595 | 4.0 | 4 | 161 | 101 | 62.7 | 1308 | 8.1 | 3 | 1.9 | 11 | 6.8 | 103.7 | 119.0 | 261.6 | 380.6 | 6.1 |
| California | 190 | 773 | 4.1 | 9 | 134 | 88 | 65.7 | 1306 | 9.7 | 1 | 0.7 | 10 | 7.5 | 120.3 | 154.6 | 261.2 | 415.8 | 6.3 |

| Net Yards | | Net Yards/Game | | Points | |
|------------|------|----------------|-------|------------|----|
| 1 Denver | 980 | 1 Denver | 196.0 | 1 Denver | 47 |
| 2 Fresno | 1061 | 2 Fresno | 212.2 | 2 Fresno | 69 |
| 3 Kutztown | 1154 | 3 Kutztown | 230.8 | 3 Malvern | 77 |
| 4 Winnipeg | 1279 | 4 Winnipeg | 255.8 | 4 Kutztown | 90 |
| 5 Malvern | 1359 | 5 Malvern | 271.8 | 5 Winnipeg | 92 |

| Points/Game | | Rushing Attempts | | Rushing Yards | |
|-------------|------|------------------|-----|---------------|-----|
| 1 Denver | 9.4 | 1 Sacramento | 113 | 1 Sacramento | 341 |
| 2 Fresno | 13.8 | 2 Fresno | 121 | 2 Malvern | 460 |
| 3 Malvern | 15.4 | 3 Malvern | 125 | 3 Fresno | 501 |
| 4 Kutztown | 18.0 | 4 Medicine | 130 | 4 Kutztown | 515 |
| 5 Winnipeg | 18.4 | 5 Winnipeg | 135 | 5 Winnipeg | 519 |

| Rushing Yards/Game | | Rushing Touchdowns | | Rushing Average | |
|--------------------|-------|--------------------|---|-----------------|-----|
| 1 Sacramento | 68.2 | 1 Sacramento | 0 | 1 Sacramento | 3.0 |
| 2 Malvern | 92.0 | 2 Fresno | 1 | 2 Pittsburgh | 3.3 |
| 3 Fresno | 100.2 | 3 Malvern | 2 | 3 New Jersey | 3.6 |
| 4 Kutztown | 103.0 | 4 Winnipeg | 2 | 4 Malvern | 3.7 |
| 5 Winnipeg | 103.8 | 5 Prairie | 2 | 5 Kutztown | 3.7 |

| Rushing Touchdown% | | Pass Attempts | | Pass Completions | |
|--------------------|-----|---------------|-----|------------------|----|
| 1 Sacramento | 0.0 | 1 Denver | 96 | 1 Denver | 39 |
| 2 Fresno | 0.8 | 2 New Jersey | 120 | 2 Kutztown | 64 |
| 3 Round Rock | 1.1 | 3 Las Vegas | 120 | 3 Fresno | 66 |
| 4 New Jersey | 1.2 | 4 Kutztown | 126 | 4 Las Vegas | 75 |
| 5 Prairie | 1.4 | 5 Fresno | 133 | 5 Baltimore | 78 |

| Passing Yards | | Pass Yards/Game | | Passing Int's | |
|---------------|-----|-----------------|-------|---------------|----|
| 1 Denver | 373 | 1 Denver | 74.6 | 1 Fresno | 11 |
| 2 Fresno | 560 | 2 Fresno | 112.0 | 2 Malvern | 10 |
| 3 Kutztown | 639 | 3 Kutztown | 127.8 | 3 Denver | 8 |
| 4 Las Vegas | 724 | 4 Las Vegas | 144.8 | 4 Kutztown | 6 |
| 5 Winnipeg | 760 | 5 Winnipeg | 152.0 | 5 Las Vegas | 6 |

| Passing TD's | | Yards/Pass Attempt | | Yards/Completion | |
|--------------|---|--------------------|-----|------------------|------|
| 1 Denver | 2 | 1 Denver | 4.5 | 1 Fresno | 9.6 |
| 2 Fresno | 3 | 2 Fresno | 4.7 | 2 Durham | 9.8 |
| 3 Malvern | 4 | 3 Kutztown | 5.4 | 3 Malvern | 10.3 |
| 4 Kutztown | 4 | 4 Durham | 5.4 | 4 Winnipeg | 10.5 |
| 5 Winnipeg | 4 | 5 Winnipeg | 5.6 | 5 Las Vegas | 10.6 |

| Passing Comp% | | Passing Int% | | Passing TD% | |
|---------------|------|--------------|-----|-------------|-----|
| 1 Denver | 40.6 | 1 Denver | 8.3 | 1 Denver | 2.1 |
| 2 Fresno | 49.6 | 2 Fresno | 8.3 | 2 Fresno | 2.3 |
| 3 Kutztown | 50.8 | 3 Malvern | 6.2 | 3 Malvern | 2.5 |
| 4 Winnipeg | 53.3 | 4 Las Vegas | 5.0 | 4 Winnipeg | 2.6 |
| 5 Baltimore | 54.2 | 5 Kutztown | 4.8 | 5 Kutztown | 3.2 |

| Sacks | | Sack% | | Passing Rating | |
|------------|----|--------------|-----|----------------|------|
| 1 Malvern | 15 | 1 Malvern | 8.5 | 1 Denver | 26.9 |
| 2 Medicine | 14 | 2 Las Vegas | 8.4 | 2 Fresno | 36.3 |
| 3 Winnipeg | 13 | 3 New Jersey | 8.4 | 3 Kutztown | 57.6 |
| 4 Fresno | 12 | 4 Fresno | 8.3 | 4 Malvern | 60.5 |
| 5 Prairie | 12 | 5 Winnipeg | 7.9 | 5 Winnipeg | 62.1 |

| First Downs | | Fumbles | | Penalties | |
|-------------|----|--------------|----|--------------|----|
| 1 Denver | 56 | 1 Malvern | 12 | 1 Ringgold | 19 |
| 2 Fresno | 67 | 2 Florida | 12 | 2 New York | 19 |
| 3 Kutztown | 73 | 3 California | 11 | 3 Denver | 23 |
| 4 Winnipeg | 84 | 4 Medicine | 10 | 4 Antelope | 24 |
| 5 Malvern | 88 | 5 Pittsburgh | 8 | 5 New Jersey | 24 |